

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/726,819	11/30/2000	Advait M. Mogre	00-479 1496.00052	5170
24319	7590 02/10	05	EXAM	INER
LSI LOGIC CORPORATION			NATNAEL, PAULOS M	
1621 BARBE	R LANE			
MS: D-106			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
MILPITAS, (CA 95035		2614	

DATE MAILED: 02/10/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/726,819	MOGRE ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Paulos M. Natnael	2614			
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	n appears on the cover sheet wit	th the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory p - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a rein. a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT statute, cause the application to become ABA	ply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	30 August 2004.	·			
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠					
• •	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4,6-9,11-14,16-19 and 21-28</u> is 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4,6-9,21 and 22</u> is/are allowed 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>11,13,14,16,18,19,23,25 and 27</u> 7) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>26 and 28</u> is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	ndrawn from consideration. is/are rejected.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Exa	miner.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) be held in abeyan	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	ne Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docur 2. Certified copies of the priority docur 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International But * See the attached detailed Office action for a	ments have been received. ments have been received in Ap priority documents have been ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	oplication No received in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) Paper No(s)/Mail Date					

Art Unit: 2614

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claims 11,13,16,18, 23,25 and 27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Fimoff et al., U.S. Pat. No. 6,529,558.

Considering claim 11,

- a) a converter configured to convert a symbol stream into an encoded stream
 comprising a plurality of symbols into an encoded stream, is met by Data Acquisition
 130, Fig. 15b, which clearly outputs encoded symbols as shown.
- b) a turbo decoder configured to decode said encoded stream to produce an data stream, is met by Viterbi decoder 138, fig.15b
- c) a synchronization remover configured to remove a synchronization signal from said data stream, is met by sync stripper 140,fig. 15b. (read col. 17, lines 28-32)

Art Unit: 2614

Considering claim **13**, the system of claim **11**, wherein said turbo decoder comprises: a plurality of decode modules configured to decode said encoded stream to produce said data stream, is met by 9-way Viterbi decoder, fig. 27.

Considering claim **16**, Claim **16** is a method claim of Claim **11** and, therefore, Claim **16** is rejected for the same reasons as in Claim **11**.

Claim 18 is method claims of Claim 13 and thus claim 18 is rejected for the same reasons as in claim 13.

Considering claim 23, a demodulator configured to demodulate a signal to produce a said symbol stream capable wherein each of said symbols consists of two error protected bits and one redundant bit, is met by the Demodulator 128, Fig. 15b.

Considering claim 25,

- a) a deinterleave module configured to arrange said data stream into an error protected block stream, is met by deinterleavers 142 and 144, fig.15b.
- b) an error correction decoder configured to decode said error protected block stream to produce a block stream, is met by RS decoder 146, fig.15b.

Considering claim 27, is a method claim of Claim 25 and, thus claim 27 is rejected for the same reasons as in Claim 25.

Art Unit: 2614

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims **14** and **19** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over **Fimoff** et al. U.S. Pat. # 6,529,558 in view of **Yi**, U.S. Patent # 6,094,427

Considering claim **14**, the system according to claim **13**, wherein said turbo decoder further comprises: a depuncture module configured to depuncture a redundant portion of said encoded stream;

Regarding claim 14, Fimoff et al do not specifically disclose a depuncture module. However, turbo decoders are known in the art as including depuncturer circuits. In this regard, Yi, for example, discloses the decoder 806 (fig.9), comprising h depuncturer 904. Therefore, it would have been obvious to the skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the system of Fimoff by providing the depuncturer of Yi, in order to recover the information signal.

Regarding claim 19, see rejection of claim 14.

Application/Control Number: 09/726,819 Page 5

Art Unit: 2614

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims **11,13,16,18, 23** have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 6. Claims **1-4,6-9, 21, 22,26** are allowable over the prior art.
- 7. Claims **26** and **28** objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 8. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: the prior art fails to disclose a system comprising: a formatter configured to format a plurality of data frames of received in a transport stream by inserting a plurality of synchronization data into said data frames to produce a block stream; an error correction encoder configured to encode said block stream to produce an error protected block stream; an interleave module configured to interleave protected block stream to produce a data stream; an inserter configured to insert a synchronization signal into said data stream; and a turbo encoder configured to encode said data stream to produce an encoded stream, as in claim 1; a method for transmitting comprising the steps of: formatting a plurality of data frames received in a transport stream by inserting a plurality of synchronization data into said data frames to produce a block stream; error

Art Unit: 2614

correction encoding said block stream to produce an error protected block stream; interleaving said error protected block stream to produce a data stream; inserting a synchronization signal into said data, turbo encoding said data stream to produce encoded stream, as claim 6;

Conclusion

Page 6

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Paulos M. Natnael whose telephone number is (703) 305-0019. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00am - 5:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Miller can be reached on (703) 305-4795. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

PMN January 28, 2005 PAULOS M. NATNAE